



PATENT COOPERATION T. EA

PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT
(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

| | | | |
|--|--|---|--|
| Applicant's or agent's file reference A2-209 PCT | | FOR FURTHER ACTION See Notification of Transmittal of International Preliminary Examination Report (Form PCT/PEA/416) | |
| International application No. PCT/US 03/15298 | International filing date (day/month/year) 16.05.2003 | Priority date (day/month/year) 24.05.2002 | |
| International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC H01R35/00 | | | |
| Applicant MOLEX INCORPORATED et al. | | | |
| <p>1. This international preliminary examination report has been prepared by this International Preliminary Examining Authority and is transmitted to the applicant according to Article 36.</p> <p>2. This REPORT consists of a total of 5 sheets, including this cover sheet.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> This report is also accompanied by ANNEXES, i.e. sheets of the description, claims and/or drawings which have been amended and are the basis for this report and/or sheets containing rectifications made before this Authority (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative Instructions under the PCT).</p> <p>These annexes consist of a total of sheets.</p> | | | |
| <p>3. This report contains indications relating to the following items:</p> <p>I <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Basis of the opinion</p> <p>II <input type="checkbox"/> Priority</p> <p>III <input type="checkbox"/> Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability</p> <p>IV <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Lack of unity of invention</p> <p>V <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Reasoned statement under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement</p> <p>VI <input type="checkbox"/> Certain documents cited</p> <p>VII <input type="checkbox"/> Certain defects in the international application</p> <p>VIII <input type="checkbox"/> Certain observations on the international application</p> | | | |
| Date of submission of the demand 23.12.2003 | | Date of completion of this report 19.08.2004 | |
| Name and mailing address of the international preliminary examining authority:  European Patent Office D-80298 Munich Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523656 epmu d Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465 | | Authorized Officer Tappeiner, R Telephone No. +49 89 2399-7915  | |

**INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY
EXAMINATION REPORT**

International application No. **PCT/US 03/15298**

I. Basis of the report

1. With regard to the **elements** of the international application (*Replacement sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to this report since they do not contain amendments (Rules 70.16 and 70.17)*):

Description, Pages

1-13 as originally filed

Claims, Numbers

1-35 as originally filed

Drawings, Sheets

1/17-17/17 as originally filed

2. With regard to the **language**, all the elements marked above were available or furnished to this Authority in the language in which the international application was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.

These elements were available or furnished to this Authority in the following language: , which is:

- ☐ the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of the international search (under Rule 23.1(b)).
☐ the language of publication of the international application (under Rule 48.3(b)).
☐ the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international preliminary examination (under Rule 55.2 and/or 55.3).

3. With regard to any **nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence** disclosed in the international application, the international preliminary examination was carried out on the basis of the sequence listing:

- ☐ contained in the international application in written form.
☐ filed together with the international application in computer readable form.
☐ furnished subsequently to this Authority in written form.
☐ furnished subsequently to this Authority in computer readable form.
☐ The statement that the subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed has been furnished.
☐ The statement that the information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequence listing has been furnished.

4. The amendments have resulted in the cancellation of:

- ☐ the description, pages:
☐ the claims, Nos.:
☐ the drawings, sheets:

**INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY
EXAMINATION REPORT**

International application No. **PCT/US 03/15298**

5. ☐ This report has been established as if (some of) the amendments had not been made, since they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed (Rule 70.2(c)).

(Any replacement sheet containing such amendments must be referred to under item 1 and annexed to this report.)

6. Additional observations, if necessary:

IV. Lack of unity of invention

1. In response to the invitation to restrict or pay additional fees, the applicant has:

- ☐ restricted the claims.
☐ paid additional fees.
☐ paid additional fees under protest.
☒ neither restricted nor paid additional fees.

2. ☐ This Authority found that the requirement of unity of invention is not complied with and chose, according to Rule 68.1, not to invite the applicant to restrict or pay additional fees.

3. This Authority considers that the requirement of unity of invention in accordance with Rules 13.1, 13.2 and 13.3 is

- ☐ complied with.
☐ not complied with for the following reasons:

4. Consequently, the following parts of the international application were the subject of international preliminary examination in establishing this report:

- ☐ all parts.
☒ the parts relating to claims Nos. 1-5 17-28 .

V. Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

| | | |
|-------------------------------|-------------|------------|
| Novelty (N) | Yes: Claims | |
| | No: Claims | 1-5, 17-28 |
| Inventive step (IS) | Yes: Claims | |
| | No: Claims | 1-5 17-28 |
| Industrial applicability (IA) | Yes: Claims | 1-5, 17-28 |
| | No: Claims | |

2. Citations and explanations

see separate sheet

**INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY
EXAMINATION REPORT - SEPARATE SHEET**

International application No. PCT/US 03/15298

Re Item IV

Lack of unity of invention

This Authority considers that there are 4 inventions covered by the claims indicated as follows:

1. Claims 1-5,17-28: Hinge with rib between conductive surfaces
2. Claims 6-16 : Hinge with conductive surface formed from a row and a column which are connected to each other
3. Claim 29 : No ISR
4. Claims 30-35: Hinge with a coiled spring

The reasons for which the inventions are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept, as required by Rule 13.1 PCT, are as follows:

The subject-matter of independent claim 1 and dependent claim 2 is the common concept between the invention 1-4.

This concept is known from Document US 6272324 B1 (D1).

D1 describes a hinge for a telephone comprising a body member 82 having a plurality of conductive surfaces 110 provided thereon and a plurality of movable contacts 34 capable of movement relative to the conductive surfaces, respective ones of the contacts maintaining an electrical contact with respective ones of the conductive surfaces.

The requisite unity of invention (Rule 13.1 PCT) therefore no longer exists inasmuch as a technical relationship involving one or more of the same or corresponding special technical features in the sense of Rule 13.2 PCT does not exist between the subject-matter of the above mentioned groups of dependent claims.

**INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY
EXAMINATION REPORT - SEPARATE SHEET**

International application No. PCT/US 03/15298

Re Item V.2

Reasoned statement under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Reference is made to the following documents:

D1: US-A-4865553

D2: US -A-6272324

D3: US-A-5278993

D4: US-A-3860312

D5: EP-A-1147783

D6: US-A-4764121

D7: US-A-4854881

D8: "connector/hinge for laptop computer liquid crystal display"

IBM Technical Disclosure Bulletin Vol. 34, no. 9, pages 29-32

2. The present application does not meet the requirements of Article 33 (2) PCT because the subject matter of the Claim 1 is **not new** with respect to the documents D1 to D8.

Each of the documents D1 to D8 discloses a hinge for an electronic device comprising a body member having a conductive surface provided thereon and a contact being in electric contact with the conductive surface, the contact being capable of movement relative to the conductive surface, yet always maintaining electrical contact with the conductive surface throughout the movement of the contact relative to the conductive surface.

3. The subject matter of dependent claims 2 19, 20, and 23 - 28 is included in D1, the subject matter of claim 17, 18, 21 and 22 is included in Document D2, and the subject matter of claims 3 and 4 is included in document D4 and the subject matter of claim 5 is disclosed in document D5.